

# Combinatorics in Banach space theory (MIM UW 2014/15)

## PROBLEMS (Part 4)

**Notation:**  $X_{\text{GM}}$  = the Gowers–Maurey space,  $X_{\text{S}}$  = the Schlumprecht space; by  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{GM}}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{S}}$  we denote their norms;  $\mathcal{F}$  = the class of functions considered in the construction of both of these spaces;  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  = the canonical basis of  $c_{00}$ .

**PROBLEM 4.1.** Assume that a Banach space  $X$  admits an asymptotic biorthogonal system with constant  $\delta \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ . Show that  $X$  is  $\frac{1}{2\delta}$ -distortable, and hence if  $X$  contains asymptotic biorthogonal systems with arbitrarily small constants, then  $X$  is arbitrarily distortable.

**Hint.** It is enough to use only three sets:  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_1^*$  from the given asymptotic biorthogonal system  $(A_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset S_X$ ,  $(A_n^*)_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset B_{X^*}$ . Can you reformulate the argument which we used to prove that Tsirelson's space  $\mathcal{T}$  is  $(2 - \varepsilon)$ -distortable, and explain how these sets  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_1^*$  may look like in this situation?

**PROBLEM 4.2.** Prove that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^n e_j \right\|_{\text{S}} = \frac{n}{\log_2(n+1)} \quad \text{for every } n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

**PROBLEM 4.3.** Show that  $X_{\text{GM}}$  is reflexive.

**Hint.** Verify that the canonical basis is boundedly complete and shrinking.

**PROBLEM 4.4.** Show that no Banach space satisfying a lower  $f$ -estimate, for some  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , can be renormed in a uniformly convex way.

**Hint.** Such a space must contain  $\ell_1^n$ 's uniformly.

**Remark.** In particular,  $X_{\text{GM}}$  does not admit any uniformly convex renorming (in other words, is not superreflexive). A construction of a uniformly convex HI space was given in the paper [V. Ferenczi, *A uniformly convex hereditarily indecomposable Banach space*, Israel J. Math. 102 (1997), 199–225].

**PROBLEM 4.5.** Show that for every Banach space  $X$  the following assertions are equivalent:

- (i)  $X$  is HI;
- (ii) for any infinite-dimensional closed subspaces  $Y$  and  $Z$  of  $X$ , the distance between the unit spheres of  $Y$  and  $Z$  is zero;
- (iii) for any infinite-dimensional closed subspaces  $Y$  and  $Z$  of  $X$ , and every  $\delta > 0$ , there exist vectors  $y \in Y$  and  $z \in Z$  such that  $\delta\|y + z\| > \|y - z\|$ ;
- (iv) for every infinite-dimensional closed subspace  $Y$  of  $X$  and every set  $W \subset B_{X^*}$  which is  $\varepsilon$ -norming for  $Y$ , with some  $\varepsilon > 0$  (that is,  $\sup_{\varphi \in W} |\varphi(y)| \geq \varepsilon\|y\|$  for every  $y \in Y$ ), the preannihilator  ${}^{\perp}W = \{x \in X : \varphi(x) = 0 \text{ for each } \varphi \in W\}$  is finite-dimensional.

**PROBLEM 4.6.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be Banach spaces. We call a bounded linear operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  *infinitely singular* provided that for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists an infinite-dimensional subspace  $Z$  of  $X$  such that  $\|T|_Z\| < \varepsilon$ . Prove that if  $T$  is not infinitely

singular, then the restriction of  $T$  to some finite-codimensional subspace of  $X$  is bounded below (an isomorphism onto its range) and, moreover, the complementary subspace can be taken to be  $\ker T$ .

**PROBLEM 4.7.** Let  $X$  be a complex Banach space and let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . We call a number  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  *infinitely singular for  $T$*  if  $T - \lambda I_X$  is infinitely singular. Assuming  $X$  is HI prove that:

- (a) there exists at most one complex number that is infinitely singular for  $T$  (hence, there is exactly one such number unless  $\dim X < \infty$ );
- (b) if  $\lambda$  is infinitely singular for  $T$ , then  $T - \lambda I_X$  is strictly singular (i.e., it is not bounded below on any infinite-dimensional subspace of  $X$ ).

**PROBLEM 4.8.** Let  $X$  be a complex Banach space and  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . We denote by  $F_T$  the set of all complex numbers that are not infinitely singular for  $T$ . Prove that if  $\lambda \in \partial\sigma(T) \cap F_T$ , then  $\lambda$  is an isolated point of  $\sigma(T)$ .

**Remark.** This assertion is the key step in proving that  $F_T \neq \mathbb{C}$  whenever  $X$  is infinite-dimensional.

**PROBLEM 4.9.** Let  $X$  be a real HI space and let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Let also  $\tilde{T}$  be the natural extension of  $T$  to the complexification space  $X_{\mathbb{C}}$  of  $X$ . Prove that either  $T - \lambda I_X$  is strictly singular for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ , or  $T^2 - 2\operatorname{Re} \lambda T + |\lambda|^2 I_X$  is strictly singular for some  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{R}$ . Prove also that  $\sigma(\tilde{T})$  is invariant under complex conjugation and the set  $\sigma(\tilde{T}) \cap \{z : \operatorname{Im} z \geq 0\}$  is finite or consists of a convergent sequence with its limit.

Next, explain how these assertions imply that every bounded linear operator on a real HI space is either strictly singular or Fredholm with index 0.

**PROBLEM 4.10.** Show that all closed hyperplanes (subspaces of codimension 1) of any Banach space are mutually isomorphic.

**PROBLEM 4.11.** Prove that every HI Banach space embeds isomorphically into  $\ell_{\infty}$ .

**Hint.** Exploit the fact that in the dual of any HI space  $X$  we have a countable set which separates points and is, say,  $\frac{1}{2}$ -norming for any given separable subspace  $X$ . This follows (how?) from the characterization given in Problem 4.5(iv). Next, use Problems 4.6 and 4.7.

**PROBLEM 4.12.** Without using any operator-theoretic tools (in particular, without using the knowledge about the form of operators on an HI space) show that the basic sequences  $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  and  $(e_n)_{n=2}^{\infty}$  are not equivalent in  $X_{\text{GM}}$ .

**Remark.** You are supposed to prove this more or less directly from the definition of the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{\text{GM}}$ , however, some deep estimates (like the one for the sum a R.I.S. of length  $N \in L$ ) will be quite indispensable.